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#### Abstract

The optically active pyrazole $4 R$-methyl- $7 R$-isopropyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahy-dro-indazole, 3, was prepared from ( - )-menthone 1 , and used for the preparation of the ligands bis( $4 R$-methyl- $7 \boldsymbol{R}$-isopropyl-4,5,6,7-te-trahydro- $N^{2}$-indazolyl)methane, 5 , bis( $4 R$-methyl- $7 R$-isopropyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydro- $N^{1}, N^{2}$-indazolyl)methane, 6, and bis( $4 R$-methyl$7 R$-isopropyl-4,5,6,7-tetrahydro- $N^{1}$-indazolyl)methane, 7. Their complexes $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)(L L)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right](\mathrm{LL}=5,6$ and 7$) ; 9,10$, and 11 , respectively, were used as catalyst precursors for the reaction of rac-(E)-1,3-diphenyl-3-acetoxy-1-propene, 12, with dimethylmalonate, 13. When ligand 6 was used methyl 2 -carbomethoxy-3,5-di-phenyl-4-enoate, 15 , was obtained in $84 \%$ ee; it was shown that to achieve high ee value it is necessary to have 1 equivalent of chloride ion in the reaction mixture.


Pyrazole ligands have been used in organometallic chemistry since the seventies [1]. The earlier reports were centred mainly on the use of anionic polypyrazolate ligands, but during the last two years uncharged polypyrazolyl ligands have received increasing attention [2]. However, these investigations were mainly concerned with the coordination behaviour of the ligands and the structures of the complexes rather than their use in, for example, homogeneous catalysis. The latest development in this area is the synthesis of optically active pyrazoles and of their polypyrazolylborate or methane ligands [3]. Following the studies in our laboratory concerned with the cyclopropanation reaction of styrene using ( + )- and ( - )-bis( 3 -menthoxy5 -methyl-pyrazolyl)methane [4], we describe here the preparation of the optically active ligands 5-7 shown in Scheme 1 and their use in the palladium-catalysed enantioselective allylic alkylation.
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(-)-Menthone (1)



$13 \%(7)$

(2S,5R)- and (2R,5R) -
6-Formylmenthone (2)
ratio 8:92

| (2R,5R)- and (2S,5R)- <br> Menthylpyrazole (3) and (4) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.2 N HCl |  |
| 2. Fractional cryst. from $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 63\% |
| 3. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$ |  |


(2R,5R)-Menthylpyrazole (3)
purity $>99$ \%
$55 \%$ overall yield from (1)
Scheme 1.
TABLE 1. Spectroscopic and analytical data

| Compound | $\begin{aligned} & \alpha_{\mathrm{D}}^{20} \\ & \left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | M.p. | Elemental analysis (\%) |  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ (ppm), coupling constants in Hz |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Found | Calcd. |  |
| $\overline{\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}$ <br> (3) | $\begin{aligned} & +27.93 \\ & (c=0.1) \end{aligned}$ | 63 | C, 73.93 <br> H, 10.32 <br> N, 75.17 | C, 74.11 H, 10.18 N, 15.71 | $0.83\left[\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\left({ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right), \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{HH}) 6.8\right], 1.02\left[\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{Pr}\right), \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{HH}) 6.8\right], 1.17$ [d, 3H, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}(\mathrm{Me}), \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{HH})$ 6.9], $1.30-2.00\left[\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right], 2.14\left[\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right], 2.62\left[\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right]$, <br> $2.76[\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Me}], 7.34[\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}], 11.47[\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}]$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ <br> (5) | $\begin{aligned} & +61.70 \\ & (c=0.1) \end{aligned}$ | 72 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}, 75.17 \\ & \mathrm{H}, 9.85 \\ & \mathrm{~N}, 15.32 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C, } 74.45 \\ & \text { H, } 9.85 \\ & \mathrm{~N}, 15.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.0.82\left[\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{( }{ }^{\mathrm{P} P r}\right), \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{HH}) 6.8\right], 0.99\left[\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{Pr}\right), J(\mathrm{HH}) 6.8\right], 1.10\left[\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}(\mathrm{Me}), J(\mathrm{HH})\right.$ 6.9], $1.20-1.85\left[\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right], 2.16\left[\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right], 2.56\left[\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right]$, <br> 2.71 [m, 2H, CH Me], $6.10\left[\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ (methane)], $7.33[\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}$ ] |
| $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$ <br> (6) | $\begin{aligned} & +41.67 \\ & (c=0.1) \end{aligned}$ | 108 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C, } 75.15 \\ & \text { H, } 9.88 \\ & \mathrm{~N}, 15.41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C, } 74.45 \\ & \text { H, } 9.85 \\ & \text { N, } 15.20 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.0.83\left[\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\left({ }^{\mathrm{i} P r}\right), \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{HH}) 6.8\right], 0.85\left[\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{( }{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{Pr}\right), J(\mathrm{HH}) 6.8\right], 0.97\left[\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\left({ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right), \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{HH})\right.$ $\left.6.8], 1.06\left[\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{II}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{( }{ }^{\mathrm{P} P r}\right), \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{HH}) 6.8\right], 1.08$ [d, 3H, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}(\mathrm{Me}), \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{HII}) 6.9\right]$, <br> $1.18\left[\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}(\mathrm{Me}), \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{HH}) 6.9\right], 1.25-2.00\left[\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right], 2.16\left[\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right]$, <br> $2.53\left[\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right], 2.93\left[\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right], 2.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHMe}, 6.11\left[\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.\right.$ (methane), $J(H H) 14.1], 6.26\left[d, 1 H, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ (methane), $\left.J(\mathrm{HH}) 14.1\right], 7.19[\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}$ ], 7.36 [s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}$ ] |
| $\underset{(7)}{\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{4}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +152.67 \\ & (c=0.1) \end{aligned}$ | 118 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C, } 75.14 \\ & \mathrm{H}, 9.89 \\ & \mathrm{~N}, 15.69 \end{aligned}$ | C, 74.45 H, 9.85 N, 15.20 | $0.88\left[\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\left({ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right), J(\mathrm{HH}) 6.8\right], 1.04\left[\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\left({ }^{( }{ }^{\mathrm{Pr}}\right), J(\mathrm{HH}) 6.8\right], 1.16\left[\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}(\mathrm{Me}), J(\mathrm{HH})\right.$ 6.9], $1.20-2.00\left[\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ], $2.13\left[\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right], 2.61[\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Me}]$, <br> 2.77 [m, 2H, CHCH(Me) ${ }_{2}$ ], $6.28\left[\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ (methane)], $7.28[\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}$ ] |
| $\underset{(9)}{\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{PPd}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +122.60 \\ & (c=1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140 \\ & \text { (dec) } \end{aligned}$ | C, 47.09 <br> H, 6.48 <br> N, 8.51 | C, 47.24 H, 6.25 N, 8.48 | 0.79 [d, $\left.\left.\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{( }{ }^{\mathrm{i} P r}\right), J(\mathrm{HH}) 6.4\right], 1.01\left[\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{( }{ }^{\mathrm{Pr}}\right), J(\mathrm{HH}) 6.4\right], \mathrm{i} .16\left[\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}(\mathrm{Me}), J(\mathrm{HH})\right.$ 6.4], $1.25-1.88\left[\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right], 2.31\left[\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right], 2.51\left[\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right], 2.67$ [ $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Me}$ ], $3.14\left[\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, H^{\text {anti }}\right.$ of allyl], $4.28\left[\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, H^{s y n}\right.$ of allyl], $5.73[\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, allyl], 6.28 , 6.35 [d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (methane)], 7.79 [s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}$ ] |
| $\underset{(10)^{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{PPd}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +62.50 \\ & (c=1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 177 \\ & \text { (dec) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C, } 47.56 \\ & \text { H, } 6.20 \\ & \mathrm{~N}, 8.44 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C}, 47.24 \\ & \mathrm{H}, 6.25 \\ & \mathrm{~N}, 8.48 \end{aligned}$ | $\left.0.67,0.76,0.65,0.74\left[\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{( }{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{I}\right), \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{HH}) 6.9\right], 1.00,1.06,1.02,1.04\left[\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\left({ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right), \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{HH}) 6.9\right]$, 1.17, 1.20, 1.16, $1.19\left[\mathrm{~d}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Me}$ ), $\left.\mathrm{J}(\mathrm{HH}) 6.7\right], 1.24-2.04\left[\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]$, 1.96 , <br> $2.32\left[\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right], 2.51,2.97\left[\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right], 2.71[\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHMe}$ ] 3.10, 3.37, 3.23, <br> 3.37 [d, 2H, $H^{a n t i}$ of allyl, $\left.J(\mathrm{HH}) 12.5\right], 4.27,4.32,4.41$ [d, 2H, $H^{s y n}$ of allyl, $\left.J(\mathrm{HH}) 7.1\right]$, <br> 5.72 [m, 1H, allyl], $6.04,6.44$ [d, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (methane), $\left.J(\mathrm{HH}) 15.1\right], 7.53,7.69,7.42,7.73$ [s, 2H, $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}$ ] |
| $\underset{(11)}{\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{PPd}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +46.20 \\ & (c=1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 199 \\ & (\mathrm{dec}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C, } 47.37 \\ & \text { H, } 6.30 \\ & \mathrm{~N}, 8.41 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C, } 47.24 \\ & \text { H, } 6.25 \\ & \mathrm{~N}, 8.48 \end{aligned}$ | 0.83 [d, $\left.\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{( }{ }^{\mathrm{i} P r}\right), \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{HH}) 6.8\right], 1.02$ [d, $\left.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\left({ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right), \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{HH}) 6.8\right], 1.22$ [d, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}(\mathrm{Me}), \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{HH})$ 6.8], $1.33-2.07\left[\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}+2 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ and $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}$ ], 2.68 [ $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Me}$, 2.83 $\left[\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right.$ ], 3.33 [dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, H^{a n i}$ of allyl, $J(\mathrm{HH}) 3.0$ and 12.4$], 4.22$ [dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, H^{s y n}$ of allyl, $J(\mathrm{HH}) 3.0$ and 6.6 ], 5.84 [tt, 1 H , allyl, $J(\mathrm{HH}) 6.6$ and 12.4], 6.09 [s broad, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ (methane)], $7.52[\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}]$ |

[^1]The stoichiometric and catalytic versions of this reaction have been extensively studied during the past 20 years [5]. Although until recently the complexes employed for this purpose contained exclusively phosphine ligands [6], there have now been two reports of the very successful use of optically active nitrogen ligands [7].

The ligands werc synthesized from optically purc ( $2 R, 5 R$ )-menthylpyrazole 3, as shown in Scheme 1 [8]. Their analytical and spectroscopic data are listed in Table 1.

The complexes $\left[\operatorname{Pd}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)(L L)\right]\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right](\mathrm{LL}=\mathbf{5}, 6$ and 7); 9, 10, and 11 , respectively [9*], were prepared by treating $\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{Cl})_{2}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right](8)$ with the ligands in the presence of $\mathrm{Ag}\left[\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right]$.


The allylic alkylation test reaction investigated is shown below, and the results of the corresponding experiments (see Scheme 2) are summarized in Table 2 [10*].

As can be seen from the table (entries 1-3), the

[^2]

Scheme 2.
catalyst containing ligand 6 gives ee values that are significantly higher than those observed for the complexes containing the two other ligands. It should be noted that ligand 6 is asymmetric, whereas compounds 5 and 7 are $C_{2}$-symmetric. $C_{2}$-symmetry has been accepted as an important feature of ligands used in asymmetric homogeneous catalysis; in particular for systems involving square-planar coordination geometry at the metal centre [12]. Indeed, many of the successful ligands used in asymmetric allylic alkylation were designed by following this approach. It is, therefore, surprising that the asymmetric ligand 6 clearly gives the best stereoselectivities. The structural isomerism of this ligand system allows the creation of different asymmetric environments at the metal centre, by keeping the same absolute configurations at the ligand stereogenic centres. To our knowledge this is the first report concerning the influence on stereoselectivity in a homogeneous catalytic reaction using different structural combinations of the same chirotopic ligand building blocks. The observed enantioselectivities when ligands 5, 6 and 7 were used possibly reflect the "degree of chirality" [13] of the corresponding palladium-complexes, and indicate that $C_{2}$-symmetry is not relevant in this system.

TABLE 2. Reaction paramcters and yiclds of the enantioselcetive allylic alkylation ${ }^{\text {a }}$ of rac-( $E$ )-1,3-diphenyl-3-acetoxy-1-propene with dimethylmalonate

| Entry | cat ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Ligand | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{mol} \\ & \%^{\mathrm{c}} \end{aligned}$ | Hal. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{mol} \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | Time h | Conv. $\%^{\mathrm{f}}$ | Yield \% ${ }^{8}$ | \% ee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 9 | 5 | 10 | - | - | 166 | 100 | 75 | 33 (S) |
| 2 | 10 | 6 | 10 | - | - | 121 | 100 | 90 | 66 (S) |
| 3 | 11 | 7 | 10 | - | - | 103 | 100 | 85 | 11 (R) |
| 4 | 10 | 6 | 10 | Cl | 5 | 65 | 100 | 85 | 83 (S) |
| 5 | 10 | 6 | 10 | Cl | 10 | 168 | 83 | 54 | 65 (S) |
| 6 | 10 | 6 | 10 | Br | 5 | 38 | 100 | 88 | $67(S)$ |
| 7 | 10 | 6 | 10 | Br | 10 | 72 | 100 | 87 | 66 (S) |
| 8 | 10 | 6 | 10 | J | 5 | 268 | 29 | 4 | 51 (S) |
| 9 | 10 | 6 | 10 | J | 10 | 268 | 10 | 3 | 25 (S) |
| 10 | 8 | 5 | 15 | - | - e | 282 | 34 | 23 | $39(S)$ |
| 11 | 8 | 6 | 15 | - | - e | 104 | 100 | 70 | 84 (S) |
| 12 | 8 | 7 | 15 | - | - e | 15 | 100 | 75 | $38(R)$ |
| 13 | 8 | 6 | 15 | Cl | . 5 | 168 | 51 | 37 | 65 (S) |

[^3]It was further found that the enantioselectivity is significantly improved if the reaction is carried out in the presence of halide (see entries $4-9$ ), especially chloride. It is important to note that best results are obtainable by using Pd: Cl ratios of $1: 1$; higher proportions of halide have a deleterious effect on both activity and stereoselectivity. The source of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is irrelevant in respect to its effect on selectivity (cf. entries 4 and 11). This observation indicates that complex 10 and one equivalent of $\mathrm{NEt}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, as well as precursor 8 and ligand 6, form in situ the same catalytically active species. The effect of bromide on the enantioselection is negligible, although the reaction rate is raised. Finally, the more nucleophilic iodide was found to be a poison for the catalyst. The exact nature of this halide effect is still obscure. Studies directed toward the elucidation of these observations, as well as further modifications of the ligand system, are currently being carried out.
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11 T. Hayashi, K. Kishi, A. Yamamoto, T. Hagihara and Y. Ito, Tetrahedron Lett., 27 (1986) 191.
12 J.K. Whitesell, Chem. Rev., 89 (1989) 1581.
13 A.B. Buda, T. Auf der Heyde and K. Mislow, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 31 (1992) 989.


[^0]:    Correspondence to: Professor L.M. Venanzi.

    * Dedicated to Professor G.P. Chiusoli on the occasion of his 70th birthday and in recognition of his important contributions to organometallic chemistry and its application to organic synthesis.

[^1]:    Complex 10 shows in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum two sets of resonances due to two diastereomic forms (ratio $1: 2$ ).

[^2]:    * Reference number with asterisk indicates a note in the list of references.

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ At room temperature. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Catalyst precursor, $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Pd . ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Added ligand. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Additional halogen: $\mathrm{NEt}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}^{2}, \mathrm{NEt}_{4} \mathrm{Br}$ and $\mathrm{NBu}_{4} \mathrm{~J}$, fresinly dried at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in vacuo. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ The reaction mixture already contains $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ chloride as the catalyst precursor 8 was used. ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Measured by GC analysis.
    ${ }^{8}$ Isolated yield after column chromatography.

